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Introduction

• Context
  - Importance of bathymetry models for numerous oceanographic projects
  - For each specific project, bathymetry is usually modeled using available data: SHOM bathymetric database (BDBS), port authorities datasets, multi-beam datasets acquired during bathymetry surveys, already existing high-resolution bathymetry models...

⇒ Several drawbacks:
  i) Inconsistency between data QC procedures, modeling algorithms and characteristics of bathymetry products,
  ii) Loss of efficiency and information when the same area need to be modeled again for another project...

• Objective

Set up a unified bathymetry model at 100m which ensures, for the French coastal zones, the consistency of both:
  i) data processing, merge and modeling procedures,
  ii) bathymetry products delivered for a whole region.
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**Input Data**

- **Auxiliary data: coast line and isobaths**
  - Coast line (black) and isobath 50m (green): frontiers for data interpolation near the coast and towards the open sea.
  - Height of the water at the maximum of the highest tide at coast line (SHOM software) potentially used to constrain data interpolation near the coast.
  - Isobath zero (ZeroCM - IFREMER/SHOM) used for comparison with the DTM model.
Methodology

- **Pre-processing**
  - Choice of a projection system: Mercator N46
  - Automation of data import (journal files)
  - Acquisition year extraction from the survey number (SHOM) or datafile names (other sources)

- **Data Quality Control**
  - Redundancy and consistency of various bathymetry datasets:
    - Consistency checked in overlapping areas (scatter diagrams, comparison of short range variability…)
    - Application of several priority criteria:
      - spatial area covered by the dataset (the wider the better),
      - acquisition year (the younger the better),
      - data origin (SHOM)
    - Mixing of both manual and automatic procedures
  - Transmission of information about erroneous data to the SHOM
  - Merge of remaining files and tiles
Methodology

- **Bathymetry modeling methodology:**
  - Geostatistical framework (flexibility, possibility to quantify DTM uncertainty)
  - On two representative tiles, comparison of several modeling techniques:
    - ordinary kriging with default or fitted variogram,
    - FAI-k kriging (fitting of local trends).

- **Choice of the most relevant approach based on several criteria:**
  - Visual quality control of DTM (empirical)
  - Use of a validation dataset (50% of data) not used for the DTM computation
  - Comparison to multi-beam high resolution models (Lannion)

- **Most relevant approach:**
  - Kriging with linear model and small nugget component
  - Neighborhood choice:
    - Octants, 2 neighbors per octant (max. number of consecutive empty octants allowed: 3)
    - Neighborhood size: 250m, min. number of neighbors: 4
Bathymetry Model: Results

- Filling towards the open sea: DTM 500m (IFREMER)
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- **English Channel**
  - Undersea dunes
  - Artefacts in the East
Bathymetry Model: Results

- Southern Brittany
Quality control of results

- Good consistency of DTM isobath 0m with the reference ZeroCM, except in under-sampled areas.
Bathymetry Model: Results

- **Quality control of results: Gironde river’s mouth**
Overview of by-products

- **Aim:** improve the product qualification

- **By-products:**
  - DTM uncertainty (quality) ≤
  - Acquisition year ≤
  - Interpolation method
  - Producer / provider organization
  - Survey number

- **Outcome:**
  - These products allow advanced data qualification and are currently transposed to other applications
  - Full automation of the entire procedure
Methodology

- **DTM uncertainty**
  - Kriging standard deviation
  - Unique variogram model (stationary assumption) $\Rightarrow$ same order of magnitude wherever we are (smooth vs. highly variable areas)
  - Alternative: locally weight the kriging standard deviation according to the local variability of bathymetry
Methodology

- DTM uncertainty

\[ \text{Local } \sigma^2 \rightarrow \]
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Methodology

- **Acquisition year**
  - Computation of local statistics about the age
  - Acquisition year: average year, standard deviation, minimum, maximum, Difference max-min
Conclusions and Perspectives

- **Methodological outcomes**
  - Application of classical geostatistical algorithms
  - Fulfilment of objectives in terms of spatial resolution, uncertainty and age description
  - Full automation of the modeling procedure, from data import to DTM export of results
  - Difficulties to identify abnormal profiles on some surveys (ex: MSM)

- **Perspectives**
  - Mediterranean sea and Corsica
  - Regular update of models in order to integrate newly acquired data
  - « Moving-Geostatistics » methodology, jointly developed with the company Estimages, to account for local bathymetry characteristics
Questions

- Test tiles for the choice of the interpolation model

Tile 18090

Tile 14583