Panel Session #87

Characterization & Survey for Decommissioning and Waste Management

#### Geostatistics for Radiological Characterization and Sampling Optimization

Yvon DESNOYERS

*More information: www.geovariances.com* 









## Collect



### **Radiological Characterization Context**

- Interrelated issues of D&D projects:
  - Regulatory deadlines, costs (maintenance, contractor, waste...)
  - Characterization: Radiation protection of workers, waste categorization and optimization, monitoring, clearance criteria...



#### • Initial characterization: a key stage for D&D success

 "Segregation and characterization of contaminated materials are the key elements of waste minimization" (Methods for the Minimization of Radioactive Waste from Decontamination and Decommissioning of Nuclear Facilities, IAEA)



### The Characterization Triptych



- A three legged stool: stability and simplicity
- If one leg is missing, the stool falls
- A stable position but uncomfortable





### **Reminder about Sampling Designs**

- Two main categories
  - Probability-based
    - Systematic
    - Random
  - Judgmental





- Mix possible to fulfil the evaluation objectives
- Iterative approach recommended







### **Geostatistics for Initial Characterization**

- Added values of geostatistics:
  - Successfully used for site characterization (chemical & nuclear)
  - Implemented in the methodology for the radiological waste characterization in former nuclear facilities
  - Sampling optimization according to spatial structure inventory

#### • Key issues:

- How to optimize the investigation costs?
- How to take auxiliary information such as historical inventory and radiation maps consistently into account?
- How to quantify uncertainties in the remediation costs while computing contaminated surfaces or volumes?



### Methodology: Geostatistics

- Geo + Statistics: integration of the phenomenon spatial continuity
- Main tool of geostatistics: the variogram (describes the variability between 2 points)
  - on average, the difference between two CLOSE measures is LOW
  - on average, the difference between two DISTANT measures is HIGH

$$\gamma(h) = \frac{1}{2} E[Z(x) - Z(x+h)]^2$$



| | | Model Experimental

Spatial structure analysis: experimental variogram and its modelling



### Three spatial structures







Three spatial representations of the same statistical distribution



 Characterization of the spatial structures thanks to a regular sampling grid



### Three spatial structures





### **Characterization** Methodology







### Data Analysis & Modeling

## Use of the geostatistical multivariate approach

- Integration of all relevant information and data
- Description of the spatial correlation between two variables:
  - → Cross-variogram
- Use of surface radiation data so as to improve the estimation of activity levels (uncertainty reduction)





### **Risk Analysis & Estimation Support**





100%	Probability map for LLW – Workstation support						
100%	36%	0%	100%	100%	81%	100%	
1%			17%	9%			
0%	0% 6%		54%	14%		0%	



- Punctual  $\rightarrow$  Hot spots
- Block → Waste category
- Impact on categorisation surfaces (averaging)





### **Radiological Categorization**

- Decision-making tools for decontamination process:
  - Waste segregation according to activity levels and risk levels
  - Average activity per "decontamination unit"
  - Accumulation (total amount of activity)









## **Sampling Optimization**

- Impact of the initial mesh on the estimation maps:
  - 0.66m, 1.3m, 2.0m
- What is your objective?
  - Hot spots
  - Average dose rate
  - Waste zoning





### **Sampling Optimization**

- Integration of the geostatistical analysis of values to optimize the number and location of data points
  - Initial mesh determination (feedback on spatial structures)
  - Defining additional points (on risk maps)
  - Positioning samples on radiation maps (use of the correlation between values)

Map of the false negative risk

(declare clean a contaminated area)



- Low risk
- Intermediate risk
- High risk
- Declared above the threshold



### A Deep Contamination Example

• First data analysis (in 2007)







### 4 drilling campaigns

### Integration of Historical Information





- Topography of the former military fortification (first generation of installations)
- Correct interpretation of contaminated areas





### **3D** Representation





### Added Value of Geostatistics

- Explore and valuate collected data
  - Data cleaning and validation / Handling data anomalies and outliers...
- Get a reliable mapping of the radiological contamination
  - Take the spatial behavior (variographic analysis) into account
  - Assess the precision of the estimation map
  - Refine the estimation map using correlated data (destructive / in situ) and indirect information (historical knowledge)
- Quantify uncertainties on contaminated volumes (or surfaces)
  - Compute the probability of exceeding a radiological threshold
  - Assess the uncertainty on the volumes
- Optimize the investigation effort / sampling strategy



### Geovariances in brief...

- World leader in advanced geostatistics
- The most complete solution in geostatistics: Innovative Methodologies, Experts & Software packages

# Exact Strak all-in-one software solution for contaminated site characterization

- GIS-based with sampling optimization
- Real-time contamination mapping
- Risk assessment for decision-making process (2D and 3D modeling)



Developed in partnership



5,000 m<sup>3</sup>